

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,800	09/917,800 07/31/2001		Donna L. Mendrick	044921-5038 1108	
9629	7590	02/05/2003		,	
		& BOCKIUS LLP	EXAMINER		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004				LY, CHE	EYNE D
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1631	11
				DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	, 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	<u>.</u>	Applicant(s)				
5	09/917,800	7	MENDRICK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
omee Meder Carrinary			1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Cheyne D Ly pears on the cov	er sheet with the c					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,—	is action is non						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-91</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>55-91</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/917,800

Art Unit: 1631

· -- -

DETAILED ACTION

1. It is acknowledged that claims 1-54 have been cancelled in Paper No. 10, filed September 06, 2002.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 55-89, drawn to a method of predicting at least one toxic effect of a compound, classified in class 702, subclass 27. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is required. Also, if this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is required.
 - II. Claims 90 and 91, drawn to a nucleic acid array and a kit for predicting at least one toxic effect of a compound, classified in classes 422 and 435, subclasses 61 and 287.2. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is required.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT FOR GROUPS I and II:

- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 4. Species of toxins cited in this instant applicant are generally separately analyzed and published, and thus document the undue search burden if searched together. Thus, applicants are required to select a specific type of toxin.
- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/917,800

Art Unit: 1631

held to be allowable. Currently, Groups I and II are generic. It is noted certain claims such as claim 56 of Group I and claim 90 of Group II are not totally generic to possible specie selections.

- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT FOR GROUP I:

- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 9. Species A: Any type of liver as target of toxicity.
- 10. Species B: Other tissue as the target of toxicity.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/917,800

Art Unit: 1631

11. Species of targets of toxicity are generally separately analyzed and published, and thus document the undue search burden if searched together. Thus, applicants are required to select a type of target of toxicity.

- 12. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 55-57, 62-70, 72-84 and 86-88 of Group I are generic.
- 13. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 14. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 15. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 16. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/917,800

Art Unit: 1631

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case of Molecular Toxicology Modeling, a method of predicting at least one toxic effect of a compound could be practiced with a nucleic acid array. Alternatively, the said method could be practiced with a PCR apparatus for differential display, Serial Analysis of Gene Expression or protein analysis such as immunoassay arrays or panel thereof analysis. All of these processes of predicting at least one toxic effect of a compound are distinct as requiring distinct and different apparatuses thereof without overlapping search due to different subject matter. This lack of overlapping searches documents the undue search burden if they were search together.

- 17. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 18. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 19. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/917,800

Art Unit: 1631

٠., ر

- 20. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 193), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.
- 21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Dune Ly, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3880. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.
- 22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4028.
- 23. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

C. Dune Ly 2/4/03

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER